OPEN ACCESS

2016 Patellofemoral pain consensus statement from the 4th International Patellofemoral Pain Research Retreat, Manchester. Part 1: Terminology, definitions, clinical examination, natural history, patellofemoral osteoarthritis and patient-reported outcome measures

Kay M Crossley,[1] Joshua J Stefanik,[2] James Selfe,[3] Natalie J Collins,[4] Irene S Davis,[5] Christopher M Powers,[6] Jenny McConnell,[7] Bill Vicenzino,[4] David M Bazett-Jones,[8] Jean-Francois Esculier,[9] Dylan Morrissey,[10,11] Michael J Callaghan[12,13]

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to
Professor Michael J Callaghan, Faculty of Health, Psychology and Social Care, School of Health Professions. Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK

Received 26 April 2016
Accepted 1 May 2016

Open Access
Scan to access more free content

Linked

▸ http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095607
▸ http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096268
▸ http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096294
▸ http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096328

CrossMark

To cite: Crossley KM, Stefanik JJ, Selfe J, *et al*. *Br J Sports Med* 2016;**50**:839–843.

INTRODUCTION

Patellofemoral pain (PFP) typically presents as diffuse anterior knee pain, usually with activities such as squatting, running, stair ascent and descent. It is common in active individuals across the lifespan,[1–4] and is a frequent cause for presentation at physiotherapy, general practice, orthopaedic and sports medicine clinics in particular.[5 6] Its impact is profound, often reducing the ability of those with PFP to perform sporting, physical activity and work-related activities pain-free. Increasing evidence suggests that it is a recalcitrant condition, persisting for many years.[7–9] In an attempt to share recent innovations, build on the first three successful biennial retreats and define the 'state of the art' for this common, impactful condition; the 4th International Patellofemoral Pain Research Retreat was convened.

The 4th International Patellofemoral Research Retreat was held in Manchester, UK, over 3 days (September 2–4th, 2015). After undergoing peer-review for scientific merit and relevance to the retreat, 67 abstracts were accepted for the retreat (50 podium presentations, and 17 short presentations). The podium and short presentations were grouped into five categories; (1) PFP, (2) factors that influence PFP (3) the trunk and lower extremity (4) interventions and (5) systematic analyses. Three keynote speakers were chosen for their scientific contribution in the area of PFP. Professor Andrew Amis spoke on the biomechanics of the patellofemoral joint. Professor David Felson spoke on patellofemoral arthritis,[10] and Dr Michael Ratleff's keynote theme was PFP in the adolescent patient.[11] As part of the retreat, we held structured, whole-group discussions in order to develop consensus relating to the work presented at the meeting as well as evidence gathered from the literature.

Consensus development process

In our past three International Patellofemoral Research Retreats, we developed a consensus statement addressing different presentation categories.[12–14] In Manchester in 2015, we revised the format. For the exercise and physical interventions, we developed consensus based on reviews of systematic reviews, and these are reported in a companion publication.[15] For factors contributing to PFP, Professor Christopher Powers facilitated the discussion and development of consensus, which is published in another companion publication. For the remaining topics of terminology, definitions/diagnosis and features of clinical examination, a consensus discussion was led by KMC, with the results described below.

In addition to the consensus activities, two sections that had been features of prior consensus meetings underwent an update and synthesis of literature. The evidence related to natural history of PFP and patellofemoral osteoarthritis (OA) was described by JJS and KMC, while a recommendation on PROMs for use in PFP was completed by NJC, DBJ and JFE, based on the best available evidence.

The following pages present the 4th Patellofemoral Pain Consensus Statement regarding terminology, definitions, clinical examination, natural history, patellofemoral OA and patient reported outcomes (PROMs). These statements represent the contemporary status of knowledge in the field of PFP and hence, will change over time. This document was developed for clinicians and researchers, to improve our comprehension of this problematic condition, and provide a guide for better and more consistent assessment and management. Additionally, gaps in current knowledge can be identified and provide a basis for future research directions.

TERMINOLOGY

Two terms were proposed for the condition: (1) PFP and (2) patellofemoral arthropathy. PFP has been used as the preferred term over recent years, however, it does not take into account how non-painful joint conditions could be a precursor to pain development, does not include symptoms such as crepitus, and may increase a focus on the 'pain' aspect of the condition. The alternative term, patellofemoral arthropathy, was proposed, as part of the increasing recognition that PFP may be a symptom

Br J Sports Med: first published as 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096384 on 24 June 2016. Downloaded from http://bjsm.bmj.com/ on March 28, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

of joint disease. Focusing on the disease process (arthropathy) might not be appropriate because: (A) the linkage between disease process and pain presentation is not clear, (B) pain is the predominant symptom, and (C) it could shift the focus to imaging, rather than clinical outcomes.

Statement 1. The term 'patellofemoral pain' is the preferred term, and is a synonym for other terms including: (1) PFP syndrome; (2) chondromalacia patella; (3) anterior knee pain and/or syndrome; and (4) runner's knee.

DEFINING PFP

Statement 2. The core criterion required to define PFP is pain around or behind the patella, which is aggravated by at least one activity that loads the patellofemoral joint during weight bearing on a flexed knee (eg, squatting, stair ambulation, jogging/running, hopping/jumping).

Additional criteria (not essential):

A. Crepitus or grinding sensation emanating from the patellofemoral joint during knee flexion movements
B. Tenderness on patellar facet palpation
C. Small effusion
D. Pain on sitting, rising on sitting, or straightening the knee following sitting

Statement 3. People with a history of dislocation, or who report perceptions of subluxation, should not be included in studies of PFP, unless the study is specifically evaluating these subgroups.

Currently, such patients are considered to be a subgroup of people with patellofemoral disorders and/or pain, who may have distinct presentations, biomechanical risk factors and require different treatments approaches.

CLINICAL EXAMINATION OF PFP

Clinical examination is the cornerstone to diagnose PFP,[16 17] but there is no definitive clinical test to diagnose PFP.[18]

Statement 4. The best available test is anterior knee pain elicited during a squatting manoeuvre: PFP is evident in 80% of people who are positive on this test.[18]

Additional tests (limited evidence):

Tenderness on palpation of the patellar edges (PFP is evident in 71–75% of people with this finding.[18]

Tests with limited diagnostic usefulness

- Patellar grinding and apprehension tests (eg, Clarke's test) have low sensitivity and limited diagnostic accuracy for PFP.[18 19]
- Knee range of motion and effusion.

NATURAL HISTORY

Incidence and prevalence of PFP

Statement 5. PFP is common in young adolescents, with a prevalence of 7–28%,[2 20 21] and incidence of 9.2%.[20]

Few studies have evaluated prevalence or incidence in other populations, except in the military,[4] where the annual incidence in men is 3.8% and in women is 6.5%, with a prevalence of 12% in men and 15% in women.[4]

Specialisation in a single sport was associated with a relative risk (1.5: 95% CI: 1.0 to 2.2) of PFP incidence compared to multisport athletes.[2]

PATELLOFEMORAL OSTEOARTHRITIS

Prevalence and impact of patellofemoral OA

Statement 6. Patellofemoral OA is an under recognised yet important subgroup of knee OA.[22 23]

Knee OA research has mainly focused on the tibiofemoral compartment, yet recent evidence suggests that the patellofemoral compartment is at least as commonly affected by OA.[24–26] Depending on the source population and definition of OA (ie, radiographic or MRI) isolated patellofemoral OA is present in 11–24% of older individuals and occurs in combination with tibiofemoral OA in 4–40% of people. People with patellofemoral OA exhibit similar patterns of pain and functional limitation to those with PFP.[27–31]

Risk factors/factors associated with patellofemoral OA

Statement 7. A variety of factors may alter the mechanics of the patellofemoral joint and increase joint stress, potentially leading to OA.

A. Abnormal patellofemoral joint alignment and trochlear morphology are associated with patellofemoral OA (both radiographic and MRI features). A recent systematic review[32] concluded that there is strong evidence that patellofemoral OA is associated with both abnormal trochlear morphology and frontal plane knee alignment. There is also limited evidence (due to the lack of longitudinal studies) that malalignment in the sagittal (patella alta) and axial (lateral patellar displacement and tilt) planes are associated with patellofemoral OA. However, there remains a knowledge gap regarding optimal measures and thresholds to best predict patellofemoral OA.
B. Muscle weakness: Quadriceps weakness is an important factor in patellofemoral OA. Quadriceps function, such as muscle size,[33] strength[34 35] and muscle force,[36] is impaired in people with patellofemoral OA. Importantly, quadriceps weakness is a risk factor for patellofemoral OA.[37] Weakness of muscle groups above the knee (involving the gluteii, often referred to as the 'proximal muscles') is well documented in young individuals with non-arthritic PFP.[16 38–42] Emerging evidence suggests that those with patellofemoral OA may also demonstrate proximal muscle dysfunction compared to controls, including lower gluteus minimus and medius peak muscle force,[43] and lower hip abductor strength.[44] These studies found no differences in gluteus maximus peak muscle force[43] or hip external rotator strength.[44] In the absence of longitudinal studies, the potential for hip muscle weakness to increase the risk of patellofemoral OA remains unknown.
C. Abnormal biomechanics: There is recent evidence that individuals with patellofemoral OA demonstrate abnormal biomechanics during gait.[36 43 45–47] Fok *et al*[36] reported that those with patellofemoral OA had lower knee extension moments, quadriceps forces and patellofemoral joint reaction forces during stair ascent and descent. In contrast to these findings, Pohl *et al*[44] reported that pelvis, hip and knee kinematics were not different between people with patellofemoral OA and controls. In the only longitudinal study to date, Teng *et al*[48] found that peak knee flexion moment and flexion moment impulse at baseline lead to progression of patellofemoral cartilage damage over 2 years.

Statement 8. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction (ACLR) increases the risk of patellofemoral OA.

There is radiographic and MRI evidence of patellofemoral OA following ACLR,[49–57] which appears to be independent of hamstring tendon or bone-patellar-bone autograft. While further longitudinal studies are required to elucidate the mechanisms underpinning patellofemoral OA following ACLR, it may be related to altered biomechanics and concomitant chondral damage.[56 58] Notably, patellofemoral OA following

Br J Sports Med: first published as 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096384 on 24 June 2016. Downloaded from http://bjsm.bmj.com/ on March 28, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

ACLR is associated with worse symptoms and function[57] and deteriorating symptoms.[59]

Relationship between structure and pain

Statement 9. The relationship between abnormal joint structure and pain is imprecise. Patellofemoral pathology is traditionally considered to occur in the lateral compartment, which appears inconsistent with cartilage damage and bone marrow lesions (BMLs) on MRI (two hallmark features of OA on MRI) presenting in the medial and lateral patellofemoral joint.[60 61] An interesting finding was that PFP was only present with lateral patellofemoral joint damage and with concomitant medial and lateral structural damage, but not when there was only medial joint damage.[61] In a series of studies, Sharma *et al*[62] found that PFJ cartilage damage and BMLs were associated with prevalent frequent knee symptoms and incident persistent symptoms over 5 years and that worsening of preradiographic patelofemoral damage was associated with persistent knee symptoms.[63]

Statement 10. The infrapatellar fat pad is an intracapsular and extrasynovial tissue that is highly innervated and a potential cause of PFP.

The role of the fat pad in the patellofemoral OA disease process remains unclear. In a cohort of people with patellofemoral OA there was greater fat pad volume compared to controls, and greater fat pad volume was associated with greater knee pain severity.[64] In other cohorts of people with and without OA, greater fat pad size was associated with greater medial and lateral tibial and patellar cartilage volume,[65] and predicted lower knee pain at follow-up.[66]

Treatment of patellofemoral OA

Statement 11. Clinical features of patellofemoral OA may differ from tibiofemoral OA.

It is possible that in order to target effective rehabilitation treatments for those with patellofemoral OA, we need to recognise the clinical findings that identify and discriminate them from tibiofemoral OA. Schiphof *et al*[67] reported that the presence of crepitus in the knee and history of patellar pain were significantly associated with patellofemoral joint OA (but not tibiofemoral joint OA) in women. Other studies reported poor diagnostic ability of a variety of clinical examination findings self-reported knee pain location and with activities to discriminate those with patellofemoral OA from those with tibiofemoral OA.[34 68] This is an area requiring further investigation, as highlighted in the Felson editorial.[10]

Statement 12. A combined intervention[69] (ie, exercise therapy, education, manual therapy and taping) or patellofemoral bracing[70] may improve outcomes for people with patellofemoral OA.

Patellofemoral bracing may improve patellofemoral kinematics and knee pain and shrink BMLs in those with patellofemoral OA.[70 71 72] The only other study on patellofemoral bracing found a small but non-significant effect on knee pain.[73]

PATIENT-REPORTED OUTCOME MEASURES

PROMs are used by researchers and clinicians to follow the course of PFP and evaluate treatment outcomes. Typically administered as questionnaires, PROMs measure the patient's own perspective of their PFP and treatment, without interpretation of their response by another individual. This minimises observer bias, and captures aspects of PFP that are likely to be important to the patient.

Statement 13. Researchers should use a standard set of PROMs for PFP and OA to facilitate future comparisons and pooling of data.

These should encompass three core clinical constructs: pain, function and global assessment.[74] Researchers may also choose to evaluate quality of life and physical activity (optional constructs). Specific PROMs for each construct will be recommended in an upcoming paper, based on a Delphi exercise.

It should be noted that few PROMs have been developed specifically for PFP, raising the possibility that PROMs commonly used in research to date may lack content validity for this patient population.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The reporting in studies of patients with PFP can limit their knowledge translation and as a result, a Delphi exercise is underway, to determine the minimum design and reporting standards for PFP. The 5th International Patellofemoral Pain Research Retreat, will be held in Brisbane, Australia in July, 2017.

Author affiliations
[1]La Trobe Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre, School of Allied Health, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia
[2]Department of Physical Therapy, Movement & Rehabilitation Sciences, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
[3]Faculty of Health, Psychology and Social Care, Department of Health Professions. Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK
[4]School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
[5]Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Spaulding National Running Centre, Harvard Medical School, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
[6]Division of Biokinesiology & Physical Therapy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA
[7]McConnell Physiotherapy Group, Mosman, New South Wales, Australia
[8]Department of Physical Therapy, Carroll University, Waukesha, Wisconsin, USA
[9]Faculty of Medicine, Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Rehabilitation and Social Integration Universite Laval, Quebec, Quebec, Canada
[10]Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
[11]Physiotherapy Department, Bart's Health NHS Trust, London, UK
[12]Faculty of Health, Psychology and Social Care, School of Health Professions. Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK
[13]Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

Twitter Follow Kay Crossley: @kaymcrossley, Joshua Stefanik: @patellaprof, Natalie Collins: @natj_collins, Irene Davis: @IreneSDavis, Bill Vicenzino: @Bill_Vicenzino, David Bazett-Jones: @DrBazettJones, Jean-Francois Esculier: @JFEsculier, Dylan Morrisey: @DrDylanM

Acknowledgements All participants contributing to the 4th International Patellofemoral Pain Research Retreat.

Contributors KMC, CMP, ISD, JMcC conceived the idea of the consensus development. All authors contributed to discussion and editing of the paper. JJS and KMC updated the patellofemoral OA section, NJC, DMB-J and J-FE updated the PROM section. MJC and JS contributed information regarding the meeting. All authors contributed to write up and approved the final manuscript.

Funding *BJSM* paid for international travel flights for one keynote speaker to attend the consensus meeting.

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

REFERENCES

1 Myer GD, Ford KR, Barber Foss KD, *et al*. The incidence and potential pathomechanics of patellofemoral pain in female athletes. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2010;25:700–7.
2 Hall R, Barber Foss K, Hewett TE, *et al*. Sport specialization's association with an increased risk of developing anterior knee pain in adolescent female athletes. *J Sport Rehabil* 2015;24:31–5.

Br J Sports Med: first published as 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096384 on 24 June 2016. Downloaded from http://bjsm.bmj.com/ on March 28, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

3 Rathleff MS, Skuldbøl SK, Rasch MNB, *et al*. Care-seeking behaviour of adolescents with knee pain: a population-based study among 504 adolescents. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2013;14:225.
4 Boling M, Padua D, Marshall S, *et al*. Gender differences in the incidence and prevalence of patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2010;20:725–30.
5 Kannus P, Aho H, Jarvinen M, *et al*. Computerised recording of visits to an outpatient sports clinic. *Am J Sports Med* 1987;15:79–85.
6 Taunton JE, Ryan MB, Clement DB, *et al*. A retrospective case-control analysis of 2002 running injuries. *Br J Sports Med* 2002;36:95–101.
7 Collins NJ, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Crossley KM, *et al*. Prognostic factors for patellofemoral pain: A multicentre observational analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 2013;47:227–33.
8 Nimon G, Murray D, Sandow M, *et al*. Natural history of anterior knee pain: a 14- to 20-year follow-up of nonoperative management. *J Pediatr Orthop* 1998;18:118–22.
9 Lankhorst NE, Van Middelkoop M, KM C, *et al*. Factors that predict a poor outcome 5–8 years after the diagnosis of patellofemoral pain: a multicentre observational analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 2016;50:881–6.
10 Felson DT. Challenges of identifying and treating patellofemoral osteoarthritis. *Br J Sports Med* 2016;50:832–3.
11 Rathleff MS. Patellofemoral pain during adolescence: Much more prevalent than appreciated. *Br J Sports Med* 2016;50:831–32.
12 Witvrouw E, Callaghan MJ, Stefanik JJ, *et al*. Patellofemoral pain: Consensus statement from the 3rd International Patellofemoral Pain Research Retreat held in Vancouver, September 2013. *Br J Sports Med* 2014;48:411–14.
13 Powers CM, Bolgla LA, Callaghan MJ, *et al*. Patellofemoral pain: proximal, distal, and local factors, 2nd International Research Retreat. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2012;42:A1–54.
14 Davis IS, Powers CM. Patellofemoral pain syndrome: proximal, distal, and local factors, an international retreat, April 30-May 2, 2009, Fells Point, Baltimore, MD. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2010;40:A1–16.
15 Crossley KM, van Middelkoop M, Callaghan MK, *et al*. 2016 patellofemoral pain consensus statement from the 4th International Patellofemoral Pain Research Retreat, Manchester Part 2: Recommended physical interventions (exercise, taping, bracing, foot orthoses and combined interventions). *Br J Sports Med* 2016;50:844–52.
16 Crossley KM, Cook JL, Cowan SM, *et al*. Anterior knee pain. In: Brukner PD, Bahr R, Blair S, *et al*. *Brukner and Khan's Clinical Sports Medicine*. Sydney, McGraw Hill: 2012:684–714.
17 Crossley KM, Callaghan M, van Linschoten R. Patellofemoral pain: practie pointer. *BMJ* 2015;351:h3939.
18 Nunes GS, Stapait EL, Kirsten MH, *et al*. Clinical test for diagnosis of patellofemoral pain syndrome: Systematic review with meta-analysis. *Phys Ther Sport* 2013;14:54–9.
19 Doberstein ST, Romeyn RL, Reineke DM. The diagnostic value of the Clarke sign in assessing chondromalacia patella. *J Athl Train* 2008;43:190–6.
20 Myer GD, Ford KR, Di Stasi SL, *et al*. High knee abduction moments are common risk factors for patellofemoral pain (PFP) and anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury in girls: Is PFP itself a predictor for subsequent ACL injury? *Br J Sports Med* 2015;49:118–22.
21 Rathleff MS, Roos EM, Olesen JL, *et al*. Exercise during school hours when added to patient education improves outcome for 2 years in adolescent patellofemoral pain: a cluster randomised trial. *Br J Sports Med* 2015;49:406–12.
22 Hinman RS, Crossley KM. Patellofemoral joint osteoarthritis: an important subgroup of knee osteoarthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2007;46:1057–62.
23 Crossley KM, Hinman RS. The patellofemoral joint: the forgotten joint in knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2011;19:765–7.
24 Duncan RC, Hay EM, Saklatvala J, *et al*. Prevalence of radiographic osteoarthritis—it all depends on your point of view. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2006;45:757–60.
25 McAlindon TE, Snow S, Cooper C, *et al*. Radiographic patterns of osteoarthritis of the knee joint in the community: the importance of the patellofemoral joint. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1992;51:844–9.
26 Stefanik JJ, Niu J, Gross KD, *et al*. Using magnetic resonance imaging to determine the compartmental prevalence of knee joint structural damage. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2013;21:695–9.
27 Duncan R, Peat G, Thomas E, *et al*. How do pain and function vary with compartmental distribution and severity of radiographic knee osteoarthritis? *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2008;47:1704–7.
28 Hunter DJ, March L, Sambrook PN. The association of cartilage volume with knee pain. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2003;11:725–9.
29 Kornaat PR, Bloem JL, Ceulemans RY, *et al*. Osteoarthritis of the knee: association between clinical features and MR imaging findings. *Radiology* 2006;239:811–17.
30 Szebenyi B, Hollander AP, Dieppe P, *et al*. Associations between pain, function, and radiographic features in osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:230–5.
31 Duncan R, Peat G, Thomas E, *et al*. Does isolated patellofemoral osteoarthritis matter? *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2009;17:1151–5.
32 Macri EM, Stefanik JJ, Khan KM, *et al*. Is tibiofemoral or patellofemoral alignment or trochlear morphology associated with patellofemoral osteoarthritis? A systematic review. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2016. 2016 Jan 27. doi: 10.1002/acr.22842. [Epub ahead of print]
33 Hart HF, Ackland DC, Pandy MG, *et al*. Quadriceps volumes are reduced in people with patellofemoral joint osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2012;20:863–8.
34 Peat G, Duncan RC, Wood LRJ, *et al*. Clinical features of symptomatic patellofemoral joint osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2012;14:R63.
35 Farrokhi S, Piva SR, Gil AB, *et al*. Association of severity of coexisting patellofemoral disease with increased impairments and functional limitations in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2013;65:544–51.
36 Fok LA, Schache AG, Crossley KM, *et al*. Patellofemoral joint loading during stair ambulation in people with patellofemoral osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2013;65:2059–69.
37 Amin S, Baker K, Niu J, *et al*. Quadriceps strength and the risk of cartilage loss and symptom progression in knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;60:189–98.
38 Nakagawa TH, Moriya ÉT, Maciel CD, *et al*. Frontal plane biomechanics in males and females with and without patellofemoral pain. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2012;44:1747–55.
39 Nakagawa TH, Moriya ET, Maciel CD, *et al*. Trunk, pelvis, hip, and knee kinematics, hip strength, and gluteal muscle activation during a single-leg squat in males and females with and without patellofemoral pain syndrome. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2012;42:491–501.
40 Souza RB, Powers CM. Differences in hip kinematics, muscle strength, and muscle activation between subjects with and without patellofemoral pain. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2009;39:12–19.
41 Dierks TA, Manal KT, Hamill J, *et al*. Proximal and distal influences on hip and knee kinematics in runners with patellofemoral pain during a prolonged run. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2008;38:448–56.
42 Willson JD, Davis IS. Lower extremity mechanics of females with and without patellofemoral pain across activities with progressively greater task demands. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2008;23:203–11.
43 Crossley KM, Dorn TW, Ozturk H, *et al*. Altered hip muscle forces during gait in people with patellofemoral osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2012;20:1243–9.
44 Pohl MB, Patel C, Wiley JP, *et al*. Gait biomechanics and hip muscular strength in patients with patellofemoral osteoarthritis. *Gait Posture* 2013;37:440–4.
45 Farrokhi S, O'Connell M, Fitzgerald GK. Altered gait biomechanics and increased knee-specific impairments in patients with coexisting tibiofemoral and patellofemoral osteoarthritis. *Gait Posture* 2015;41:81–5.
46 Stefanik JJ, Guermazi A, Zhu Y, *et al*. Quadriceps weakness, patella alta, and structural features of patellofemoral osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2011;63:1391–7.
47 Teng HL, MacLeod TD, Kumar D, *et al*. Individuals with isolated patellofemoral joint osteoarthritis exhibit higher mechanical loading at the knee during the second half of the stance phase. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2015;30:383–90.
48 Teng HL, MacLeod TD, Link TM, *et al*. Higher knee flexion moment during the second half of the stance phase of gait is associated with magnetic resonance imaging progression of patellofemoral joint osteoarthritis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2015;45:656–64.
49 Øiestad BE, Holm I, Engebretsen L, *et al*. The prevalence of patellofemoral osteoarthritis 12 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2013;21:942–9.
50 Keays SL, Newcombe PA, Bullock-Saxton JE, *et al*. Factors involved in the development of osteoarthritis after anterior curciate ligament surgery. *Am J Sports Med* 2010;38:455–63.
51 Keays SL, Bullock-Saxton JE, Keays AC, *et al*. A 6-year follow-up of the effect of graft site on strength, stability, range of motion, function, and joint degeneration after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Patellar tendon versus semitendinosus and gracilis tendon graft. *Am J Sports Med* 2007;35:729–39.
52 Frobell RB, Le Graverand MP, Buck R, *et al*. The acutely ACL injured knee assessed by MRI: changes in joint fluid, bone marrow lesions, and cartilage during the first year. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2009;17:161–7.
53 Neuman P, Kostogiannis I, Fridén T, *et al*. Patellofemoral osteoarthritis 15 years after anterior cruciate ligament injury—a prospective cohort study. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2009;17:284–90.
54 Järvelä T, Paakkala T, Kännus P, *et al*. The incidence of patellofemoral osteoarthritis and associated findings 7 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with a bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft. *Am J Sports Med* 2001;29:18–24.
55 Culvenor AG, Collins NJ, Guermazi A, *et al*. Early knee osteoarthritis is evident one year following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A magnetic resonance imaging evaluation. *Arthritis and Rheumatology* 2015;67:946–55.
56 Culvenor AG, Cook JL, Collins NJ, *et al*. Is patellofemoral joint osteoarthritis an under-recognised outcome of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? A narrative literature review. *Br J Sports Med* 2013;47:66–73.

Br J Sports Med: first published as 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096384 on 24 June 2016. Downloaded from http://bjsm.bmj.com/ on March 28, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

57 Culvenor AG, Lai CCH, Gabbe BJ, *et al*. Patellofemoral osteoarthritis is prevalent and associated with worse symptoms and function after hamstring tendon autograft ACL reconstruction. *Br J Sports Med* 2014;48:435–9.
58 Culvenor AG, Schache AG, Vicenzino B, *et al*. Are knee biomechanics different in those with and without patellofemoral osteoarthritis after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2014;66:1566–70.
59 Culvenor AG, Collins NJ, Guermazi A, *et al*. Early patellofemoral osteoarthritis features 1 year after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: symptoms and quality of life at 3 years. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2015. 2015 Oct 16. doi: 10.1002/acr.22761. [Epub ahead of print]
60 Gross KD, Niu J, Stefanik JJ, *et al*. Breaking the Law of Valgus: the surprising and unexplained prevalence of medial patellofemoral cartilage damage. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012;71:1827–32.
61 Stefanik JJ, Gross KD, Guermazi A, *et al*. The relation of MRI-detected structural damage in the medial and lateral patellofemoral joint to knee pain: the Multicenter and Framingham Osteoarthritis Studies. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2015;23:565–70.
62 Sharma L, Chmiel JS, Almagor O, *et al*. Significance of preradiographic magnetic resonance imaging lesions in persons at increased risk of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2014;66:1811–19.
63 Sharma L, Nevitt M, Hochberg MC, *et al*. Clinical significance of worsening versus stable predaiographic MRI lesions in a cohort study of persons at higher risk or knee osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*; Published Online First: 14 Oct 2015 doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-208129
64 Cowan SM, Hart HF, Warden SJ, *et al*. Infrapatellar fat pad volume is greater in individuals with patellofemoral joint osteoarthritis and associated with pain. *Rheumatol Int* 2015;35:1439–42.
65 Cai J, Xu J, Wang K, *et al*. Association between infrapatellar fat pad volume and knee structural changes in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2015;42:1878–84.
66 Teichtahl AJ, Wulidasari E, Brady SR, *et al*. A large infrapatellar fat pad protects against knee pain and lateral tibial cartilage volume loss. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2015;17:318.
67 Schiphof D, van Middelkoop M, de Klerk BM, *et al*. Crepitus is a first indication of patellofemoral osteoarthritis (and not of tibiofemoral osteoarthritis). *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2014;22:631–8.
68 Stefanik JJ, Neogi T, Niu J, *et al*. The diagnostic performance of anterior knee pain and activity-related pain in identifying knees with structural damage in the patellofemoral joint: the multicenter osteoarthritis study. *J Rheumatol* 2014;41:1695–702.
69 Crossley KM, Vicenzino B, Lentzos J, *et al*. Exercise, education, manual-therapy and taping compared to education for patellofemoral osteoarthritis: a blinded, randomised clinical trial. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2015;23:1457–64.
70 Callaghan MJ, Parkes MJ, Hutchinson CE, *et al*. A randomised trial of a brace for patellofemoral osteoarthritis targeting knee pain and bone marrow lesions. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:1164–70.
71 McWalter EJ, Hunter DJ, Harvey WF, *et al*. The effect of a patellar brace on three-dimensional patellar kinematics in patients with lateral patellofemoral osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2011;19:801–8.
72 Felson DT, Parkes MJ, Marjonovic EJ, *et al*. Bone Marrow Lesions in Osteoarthritis change in 6-12 weeks. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2012;20: 1514–18.
73 Hunter DJ, Harvey W, Gross KD, *et al*. A randomized trial of patellofemoral bracing for treatment of patellofemoral osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2011;19:792–800.
74 McAlindon TE, Driban JB, Henrotin Y, *et al*. OARSI Clinical Trials Recommendations: Design, conduct, and reporting of clinical trials for knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2015;23:747–60.

Br J Sports Med: first published as 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096384 on 24 June 2016. Downloaded from http://bjsm.bmj.com/ on March 28, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.