Reference (Year)	Study design	Level of evidence	Sports	Number of teams	Age (mean±SD) <sup>f</sup>	Type	Length	Frequency	Weekly time spent during in- season
(1999)	non- randomized cohort	Π	Soccer Volleyball Basketball	(control) (control) 15 teams (intervention)	14 – 18 yr (range)	Stretcning, plyometrics, Weight training	60 – 90 minutes	week in pre-	N/A <sup>e</sup>
Soderman et al. (2000) <sup>a</sup>	Prospective randomized control	П	Soccer	6 teams (control) 7 teams (intervention)	C:20.4 ±5.4 yr I: 20.4 ±4.6 yr	Balance with balance boards	10 – 15 minutes	Each day for 30 days. 3 days per week rest of the season	10-15 min
Heidt et al. (2000) <sup>b</sup>	Prospective randomized control	Ι	Soccer	<ul><li>258 individuals</li><li>(control)</li><li>42 individuals</li><li>(intervention)</li></ul>	14 – 18 yr (range)	Cardiovascular, Plyometrics, Strength, flexibility, agility, and sports specific drills	75 minutes	3 days per week in pre- season	N/A <sup>e</sup>
Myklebust et al. (2003)	Prospective non- randomized cross over	П	Handball	60 teams (1 <sup>st</sup> yr) 58 teams (2 <sup>nd</sup> yr) 52 teams (3 <sup>rd</sup> yr)	21-22 yr	Balance with mats and wobble boards	15 minutes	3 days per week for 5-7 weeks. Once a week for rest of the season	15 min
Mandelbaum et al. (2005)	Prospective non- randomized cohort	Π	Soccer	207 teams (control) 97 teams (intervention)	14-18 yr (range)	Basic warm- up, stretching, strengthening, plyometrics,	20 minutes	2-3 times per week in in- season	40-60 min

Supplementary table 1. Summary of studies included in the review.

-			1	1				1	1
						and agility			
Olsen et al.	Prospective		Handball	59	16-17 yr	Warm-up,	15-20	15	
(2005)	cluster			teams(control)		technique,	minutes	consecutive	
	randomized	Ι		61 teams		balance,		sessions.	15-20 min
	controlled			(intervention)		strength and		Once a week	
						power		for rest of	
								the season	
Petersen et	Prospective		Handball	10	C:19.8	Education,	10	3 times per	
al.	matched			teams(control)	I: 19.4 yr	balance-board	minutes	week in pre-	
(2005) <sup>c</sup>	cohort	II		10 teams		exercise, jump		season. Once	10 min
				(intervention)		training		per week for	
								rest of the	
								season	
Pfeiffer et al.	Prospective		Soccer	69	14-18 yr	Plyometrics	20	2 times per	
(2006)	non-	II	Volleyball	teams(control)	(range)		minutes	week in in-	
	randomized		Basketball	43 teams				season	40 min
	cohort			(intervention)					
Steffen et al.	Prospective		Soccer	51 teams	15.4 yr	Core stability,	15	15	
(2008)	block			(control)		balance,	minutes	consecutive	
	randomized	Ι		58 teams		plyometrics		sessions.	15 min
	controlled			(intervention)				Once a week	
								for rest of	
								the season	
Gilchrist et	Prospective		Soccer	35 teams	C:19.9 yr	Basic warm-	20	3 times per	
al.	cluster			(control)	I: 19.9 yr	up, stretching,	minutes	week in in-	
(2008)	randomized	Ι		26 teams		strengthening,		season	60 min
	controlled			(intervention)		plyometrics,			
						and agility			

Pasanen et	Prospective		Floorball	14 teams	24 yr	Running	20-30	2-3 times per	
al.	cluster			(control)		techniques,	minutes	week for pre-	
(2008)	randomized			14 teams		balance and		season	
	controlled	Ι		(intervention)		body control,		(intensive	
						plyometrics,		training	40-90 min
						strengthening		period) and	
								once a week	
								in in-season	
								(maintenance	
								period)	
Kiani et al.	Prospective		Soccer	49 teams	C: 15.0	Core	20-25	2 days per	
$(2010)^{d}$	cluster			(control)	I: 14.7 yr	strengthening,	minutes	week for 2	
	non-	II		48 teams		balance		months.	20-25 min
	randomized			(intervention)				Once a week	
	cohort							for rest of	
								the season	
LaBella et al.	Prospective		Soccer	53 teams	C: 16.2	Strengthening,	20	3 times per	
(2011)	cluster	Ι	Basketball	(control)	I: 16.2 yr	plyometrics,	minutes	week in pre-	60 min
	randomized			53 teams		balance, agility		and in-	
	controlled			(intervention)				season	
Walden et al.	Prospective		Soccer	109 teams	C: 14.1	Core stability,	15	2 times per	
(2012)	cluster			(control)	I: 14.0 yr	balance, jump-	minutes	week.	
	randomized	Ι		121 teams		landing with			30 min
	controlled			(intervention)		knee alignment			
						feedback			

a. Although the study was a randomized controlled design, the follow-up rate was low (51.2%). Therefore, the level of evidence was rated as II.

b. For the analysis purpose, data from 1st year intervention year was only used.

c. Although there was no specific statement, the neuromuscular training indicated plyometric components.

d. Although there were jump-landing maneuvers, repeated stretch-shortening cycle were not employed in the training.

e. The NMT was performed only during a pre-season. N/A stands for Not Applicable.

f. Unless otherwise indicated.

"C" stands for control group. "I" stands for Intervention group.